

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,335	01/02/2002	Ronnie C. Hanecak	ISIS-4976	7737
34138 755	90 09/27/2005		EXAM	INER
COZEN O'CONNOR, P.C. 1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508			GUZO, DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1636	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{N}			
		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/0	038,335	HANECAK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit	
		Dav	d Guzo	1636	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears (on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply we period by the Office later than three months after adpartent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. F37 CFR 1.136(a). In the indication. days, a reply within the interpolation will apply ill, by statute, cause to the indicatory period will apply ill, by statute, cause to the indicatory period will apply ill, by statute, cause to the indicatory indicatory ill, by statute, cause to the indicatory	n no event, however, may a rep the statutory minimum of thirty (and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 June 20	005.	•	
′=		o)⊠ This actio			
,	Since this application is in condition for			rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
•	closed in accordance with the practice				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>8-10 and 14-26</u> is/are pendir	ng in the applic	ation.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			•	
	Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are allowed.		,		
6)🖾	Claim(s) <u>14,15 and 20-22</u> is/are reject	ted.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) 16-19 and 23-26 is/are object	ted to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or elec	tion requirement.	·	
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	. •		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t				
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	er. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreian priori	tv under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	0 1			
·	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have	e been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority d			blication No.	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		- '		
	application from the Internation	-			
	* *		, , ,	ceived.	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action				
Attachment	(s)				
Attachment 1)	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	
Attachment 1) \(\sum \) Notice 2) \(\sum \) Notice	(s)	D-948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/038,335

Art Unit: 1636

's'

Detailed Action

The indicated allowability of claims 14-15, 20-22 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to US Patent 5,523,389. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Obviousness Type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 14-15 and 20-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,523,389. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because instant claims 14-15 and 21-22 are generic to what is claimed in claim 1 of the '389 patent. That is claim 1 of the '389 patent falls entirely within the scope of instant claims 14-15 and 21-22, or in other words, claims 14-15 and 21-22 are anticipated by claim 1 of the '389 patent. Specifically, the modified phosphorothioate oligonucleotide TTGGGGTT recited in claim 1 of the '389 patent is a

Application/Control Number: 10/038,335

Art Unit: 1636

chemically modified oligonucleotide having no more than about 27 bases wherein said oligonucleotide has the structure of $(N_XG_4)_QN_X$ where X is 2 and Q is 1 or $(N_XG_4N_Y)_Q$ wherein X and Y are 2 and Q is 1. With regard to the ability of the TTGGGGTT oligonucleotide to modulate telomere length, the instant specification specifically lists this oligonucleotide (in Table 1) as one which is expected to result in modulation of telomere length when administered to cells.

Claims 14-15 and 20-22 are directed to an invention not patentably distinct from claim 1 of commonly assigned 5,523,389. Specifically, the claims are not patentably distinct for the reasons cited in the above obviousness type double patenting rejection.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP § 2302). Commonly assigned US 5,523,389, discussed above, would form the basis for a rejection of the noted claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) if the commonly assigned case qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) and the conflicting inventions were not commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made. In order for the examiner to resolve this issue, the assignee can, under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(c), either show that the conflicting inventions were commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made, or name the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter.

A showing that the inventions were commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made will preclude a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based upon

Application/Control Number: 10/038,335

Art Unit: 1636

the commonly assigned case as a reference under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g), or 35 U.S.C. 102(e) for applications filed on or after November 29, 1999.

Claims 8-10 are allowed.

Claims 16-19 and 23-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Guzo, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0767. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

David Guzo August 27, 2005